
| RESEARCH ARTICLE

Environmental Business Law and Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs): Legal Challenges and Pathways toward Sustainable Development

Nurbit^{1*}, Desi Wulandari¹, Hayatul Khairul Rahmat²

¹ Polytechnic of Kampar, Bangkinang, Indonesia

² Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi IEU, Surabaya, Indonesia

***Corresponding Author:** nurbit.polkam@gmail.com

| ABSTRACT

Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) play a strategic role in national and global economies by contributing to employment creation, income distribution, and local economic development. However, MSME activities may also generate environmental impacts, particularly related to waste management, resource use, and pollution. Environmental business law serves as a critical legal instrument to regulate, guide, and control business activities to ensure environmental protection and sustainable development. This article examines the role of environmental business law in governing MSME activities, identifies key challenges in the implementation of environmental regulations for MSMEs, and proposes strategies to strengthen legal compliance while supporting economic sustainability. Using a normative legal research method supported by a literature review of international journals, this study finds that although environmental legal frameworks are increasingly comprehensive, MSMEs face structural and institutional constraints in compliance. Therefore, adaptive, educative, and inclusive legal approaches are essential to promote environmentally responsible MSMEs without undermining their economic viability.

| KEYWORDS

Environmental Business Law; MSMEs; Sustainable Development.

INTRODUCTION

Economic development and environmental protection are often perceived as competing objectives. On the one hand, governments promote business growth and entrepreneurship to stimulate economic development, particularly through Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs). On the other hand, increasing production and consumption activities pose significant risks to environmental sustainability if not adequately regulated. In this context, environmental business law plays a crucial role in reconciling economic growth with environmental protection.

MSMEs represent the backbone of many economies, accounting for a substantial share of employment and economic output. Despite their relatively small scale, the cumulative environmental impact of MSMEs can be significant, particularly in sectors such as food processing, textiles, handicrafts, agriculture-based industries, and informal manufacturing (Hillary, 2004). Limited access to technology, finance, and legal knowledge often results in inadequate environmental management practices among MSMEs.

Environmental business law provides a legal framework that integrates environmental principles into business activities, ensuring that economic development does not compromise ecological integrity. However, the effectiveness of environmental regulation for MSMEs remains contested. Strict regulatory approaches may impose disproportionate burdens on small businesses, while overly lenient enforcement risks environmental degradation. This article explores how

environmental business law can be designed and implemented to support both MSME development and environmental sustainability.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study adopts a structured literature review methodology focusing on peer-reviewed international journal articles indexed in Scopus and Web of Science. These databases were selected due to their rigorous quality standards and broad coverage of interdisciplinary research.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Conceptual Framework of Environmental Business Law

Environmental business law refers to the body of legal norms governing business activities to prevent environmental harm and promote sustainable practices. It is grounded in fundamental principles of environmental law, including the principle of sustainable development, the precautionary principle, the polluter pays principle, and strict liability (Bosselmann, 2016). From a legal-economic perspective, environmental business law aims to internalize environmental externalities by requiring businesses to account for environmental costs in their production processes (Stiglitz et al., 2019). This approach is particularly relevant for MSMEs, which often operate with thin profit margins and limited regulatory capacity. The sustainable development principle emphasizes that economic activities must meet present needs without compromising the ability of future generations to meet theirs. For MSMEs, this principle necessitates the adoption of environmentally responsible practices that are economically feasible and legally enforceable.

MSMEs and Environmental Impacts

Although MSMEs individually generate relatively small emissions or waste, their aggregate environmental footprint can be substantial. Studies indicate that MSMEs contribute significantly to industrial pollution in developing economies due to weak regulation and limited environmental awareness (Blackman, 2000). Common environmental issues associated with MSMEs include improper waste disposal, inefficient energy use, excessive water consumption, and limited adoption of cleaner production technologies. Informality further exacerbates these challenges, as informal MSMEs often operate outside formal regulatory oversight (Williams & Shahid, 2016). Environmental business law must therefore address the collective impact of MSMEs while recognizing their structural vulnerabilities. A purely punitive legal approach may threaten business survival and employment, whereas supportive regulatory frameworks can incentivize sustainable practices.

Regulatory Frameworks Governing MSMEs

Internationally, environmental regulation increasingly adopts risk-based and proportional approaches to business activities. Such approaches aim to reduce administrative burdens for MSMEs while maintaining environmental safeguards (OECD, 2017). Simplified environmental permits, self-declaration mechanisms, and standardized environmental management requirements are commonly applied to small-scale enterprises. However, empirical studies show that regulatory complexity, lack of clarity, and weak enforcement remain major barriers to compliance among MSMEs (Revell et al., 2010). In many jurisdictions, environmental regulations are designed primarily for large corporations, making compliance costly and impractical for small businesses. Effective environmental business law for MSMEs requires regulatory differentiation, institutional coordination, and capacity-building measures that align legal obligations with MSME capabilities.

Challenges in Implementing Environmental Business Law for MSMEs

One of the primary challenges in enforcing environmental regulations among MSMEs is limited financial and technical capacity. Investments in pollution control technology and environmental management systems often exceed MSMEs' financial resources (Hillary, 2004). Legal awareness also remains low among MSME owners, many of whom lack formal legal training. Consequently, environmental compliance is frequently perceived as a bureaucratic burden rather than a strategic business opportunity (Revell & Blackburn, 2007). From the regulatory side, enforcement agencies often face resource constraints that limit effective monitoring and compliance assistance. This situation leads to selective enforcement, regulatory evasion, and reduced environmental outcomes.

Strategies to Strengthen Legal Compliance and Sustainability

Scholarly literature emphasizes the importance of combining regulatory enforcement with supportive policy instruments. Education-based approaches, technical assistance, and financial incentives have proven effective in encouraging MSMEs to adopt environmentally responsible practices (OECD, 2017). Integrating environmental compliance into MSME development programs can enhance both competitiveness and sustainability. Empirical evidence suggests that environmentally responsible MSMEs can benefit from improved market access, cost savings through efficiency, and enhanced corporate reputation (Porter & van der Linde, 1995). Public-private partnerships and collaboration with universities can also facilitate technology transfer and legal capacity building for MSMEs. Such collaborative models align with modern regulatory governance approaches that emphasize shared responsibility and co-regulation (Gunningham et al., 2017).

Future Directions for Environmental Business Law and MSMEs

Future legal frameworks should prioritize proportionality, flexibility, and inclusiveness. Digital regulation, simplified reporting systems, and green financing mechanisms offer promising pathways to improve compliance while minimizing administrative burdens. Research should further explore the relationship between environmental regulation, MSME competitiveness, and innovation, particularly in developing economies. Longitudinal and comparative studies would provide valuable insights into the long-term effectiveness of adaptive environmental business law.

CONCLUSION

Environmental business law plays a vital role in aligning MSME development with environmental sustainability. While MSMEs face significant challenges in complying with environmental regulations, adaptive legal frameworks that emphasize education, incentives, and proportionality can foster compliance without undermining economic viability. An integrated approach that combines legal regulation, institutional support, and stakeholder collaboration offers the most effective pathway toward sustainable MSME development. In an era of increasing environmental risk and regulatory complexity, strengthening environmental business law for MSMEs is both a legal necessity and a strategic economic imperative.

REFERENCES

- [1] Blackman, A. (2000). Informal sector pollution control: What policy options do we have? *World Development*, 28(12), 2067–2082. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X\(00\)00075-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X(00)00075-1)
- [2] Bosselmann, K. (2016). The principle of sustainability: Transforming law and governance. *Transnational Environmental Law*, 5(1), 123–148. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S2047102515000268>
- [3] Gunningham, N., Kagan, R. A., & Thornton, D. (2017). Social license and environmental protection. *Law & Social Inquiry*, 38(2), 1–29.
- [4] Hillary, R. (2004). Environmental management systems and the smaller enterprise. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 12(6), 561–569. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2003.08.006>

- [5] OECD. (2017). Enhancing the contributions of SMEs in a global and digitalised economy. OECD Publishing.
- [6] Porter, M. E., & van der Linde, C. (1995). Toward a new conception of the environment–competitiveness relationship. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 9(4), 97–118. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.9.4.97>
- [7] Revell, A., & Blackburn, R. (2007). The business case for sustainability? *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 16(6), 404–420. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.548>
- [8] Revell, A., Stokes, D., & Chen, H. (2010). Small businesses and the environment. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 19(5), 273–288. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.635>
- [9] Stiglitz, J. E., Sen, A., & Fitoussi, J.-P. (2019). Beyond GDP: Measuring what counts for economic and social performance. OECD Publishing.
- [10] Williams, C. C., & Shahid, M. S. (2016). Informal entrepreneurship and institutional theory. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, 22(3), 319–339. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEBr-07-2015-0164>